arXiv:1408.4866v2 [math.CO] 30 Mar 2015

GLAISHER COMBINATORICS OF REGULAR PARTITIONS

HIROSHI MIZUKAWA AND HIRO-FUMI YAMADA

Abstract. Extending the notion of r-(class) regular partitions, we define $(r_1, \dots, r_m)$-class regular partitions. A partition identity is presented and described by making use of the Glaisher correspondence.

1. Introduction

Partitions of natural numbers are ubiquitous in representation theory. Typically, they label the ordinary irreducible representations of the symmetric groups. Turning to modular representations of the symmetric groups, some restrictions to the partitions naturally arise. Namely, for a prime r, r-modular irreducible representations are labeled by the r-regular partitions. On the other hand, the r-regular conjugacy classes correspond to the r-class regular partitions. As Euler noticed, r-regular partitions of n are equinumerous to the r-class regular partitions of n. The natural combinatorial bijection between these two sets is called the Glaisher correspondence. One of the authors studied in [1] the graded version of Glaisher correspondence and revealed an intimate role of the correspondence in modular representation theory. We feel that the "Glaisher combinatorics" should possibly be one of the keys in the investigation of the symmetric groups.

When we look at the r-modular ($r \geq 3$, odd) representations of the covering of the symmetric group. We need to handle the partitions which are 2-class regular and r-class regular. In this note, motivated by the above, we define $\underline{r}$-regular / $\underline{r}$-class regular partitions for a mutually coprime integral sequence $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. We give some partition identities and generating functions.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2, we derive our main formula (Theorem 2.1) which is on multiplicities of parts in $\underline{r}$-class regular partitions. Section 3 is devoted to a rephrase of the formula in terms of the Glaisher correspondence. Although this is an easy algorithm, we expect this gives a path to representation theory mentioned above. In Section 4, the case $\underline{r} = r$ is discussed. We consider the r-regular character table of the symmetric group and provide a proof of Olsson's determinant formula [2, 3, 8]. We

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05E10; Secondary:05E05 .

Key words and phrases. r-regular partition, r-class regular partition, Glaisher correspondence, Hall-Littlewood symmetric function, character table of symmetric group.

The first author was supported by KAKENHI 24740033. The second author was supported by KAKENHI 24540020.

examine the transition matrices of the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and the Schur functions.

2. $\underline{r}$-class regular partitions

Let $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$ be a tuple of positive integers ≥ 2. Throughout the paper, we assume that any two integers r_i and r_j $(i \neq j)$ in $\underline{r}$ are coprime. If an integer n is not divisible by $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$, then we write $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$. A partition λ said to be $\underline{r}$-class regular if any parts of λ are not divisible by $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$. Let $CP_{\underline{r},n}$ be the set of the $\underline{r}$-class regular partitions of n. We put

$$\pi_k(q) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq m} (1 - q^{r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_k}}).$$

and

$$\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{\pi_1(q^n)\pi_3(q^n)\cdots\pi_{m-1}(q^n)}{\pi_2(q^n)\pi_4(q^n)\cdots\pi_m(q^n)} \frac{1}{1-q^n}, & m \equiv 0 \pmod 2 \\ \displaystyle\prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{\pi_1(q^n)\pi_3(q^n)\cdots\pi_m(q^n)}{\pi_2(q^n)\pi_4(q^n)\cdots\pi_{m-1}(q^n)} \frac{1}{1-q^n}, & m \equiv 1 \pmod 2. \end{cases}$$

Then the inclusion-exclusion principle gives us

$$\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) = \prod_{n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}} \frac{1}{1-q^n} = \sum_{n \leq 0} |CP_{\underline{r},n}| q^n.$$

We define, for $j \geq 1$,

$$V_{\underline{r},j,n} = \sum_{\rho \in CP_{\underline{r},n}} m_j(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\underline{r},j,n} = \sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \big|\{i \mid m_i(\rho) \geq j\}\big|,$$

where $m_i(\rho)$ means the multiplicity of i in ρ.

Theorem 2.1. *If $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$, then we have*

$$V_{\underline{r},j,n} = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_m \geq 0} W_{\underline{r},r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2} \cdots r_m^{k_m} j,n}.$$

Before proving this theorem, we give an example.

Example 2.2. *We take $\underline{r} = (2,3)$ and $n = 10$. There are four $(2,3)$-class regular partitions of $n = 10$. The following table lists $V_{\underline{r},j,n}$ and $W_{\underline{r},j,n}$ of them:*

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$V_{\underline{r},j,n}$	18	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
$W_{\underline{r},j,n}$	6	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1

We have

$$\begin{cases} V_{\underline{r},1,10} &= W_{\underline{r},1,10} + W_{\underline{r},2,10} + W_{\underline{r},3,10} + W_{\underline{r},4,10} + W_{\underline{r},6,10} + W_{\underline{r},8,10} + W_{\underline{r},9,10}, \\ V_{\underline{r},5,10} &= W_{\underline{r},5,10} + W_{\underline{r},10,10}, \\ V_{\underline{r},7,10} &= W_{\underline{r},7,10}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$. We have

$$\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\frac{1-q^j}{1-tq^j} = \sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n}} t^{m_j(\rho)}\right)q^n.$$

Taking the t-derivative at $t=1$, we obtain

$$\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\frac{q^j}{1-q^j} = \sum_{n\geq 0} V_{\underline{r},j,n}q^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$ and $j \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 0}|\{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n} \mid m_k(\rho)\geq j\}|q^n &= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)(1-q^\ell)(q^{j\ell}+q^{(j+1)\ell}+q^{(j+2)\ell}+\cdots) \\ &= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)q^{j\ell}\end{aligned}$$

We take sum over $\ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$ and obtain the generating function of $W_{\underline{r},j,n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n\geq 0} W_{\underline{r},j,n}q^n = \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\sum_{\ell\not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})} q^{j\ell} \\ &= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\sum_{\ell\geq 1}\left\{q^{j\ell} - \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{m} q^{r_{i_1}j\ell}\right) + \left(\sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2\leq m} q^{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}j\ell}\right) - \cdots + (-1)^m q^{r_1r_2\cdots r_m j\ell}\right\} \\ &= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\left\{\frac{q^j}{1-q^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left((-1)^k \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_k\leq m}\frac{q^{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_k}j}}{1-q^{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_k}j}}\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We replace j by $r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j$ in the above and consider the sum

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_m\geq 0} W_{\underline{r},r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j,n}\right)q^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Now we assume that just m' $(0\leq m'\leq m)$ entries of $(k_1,\ldots,k_m)$ are not zero. Then we see that the coefficient of $\frac{q^{r_1^{k_1}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j}}{1-q^{r_1^{k_1}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j}}$ is $\binom{m'}{a}$ in

$$\sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_m\geq 0}\left(\sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_a\leq m}\frac{q^{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_a}r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j}}{1-q^{r_{i_1}r_{i_2}\cdots r_{i_a}r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j}}\right).$$

Since $\sum_{a\geq 0}(-1)^a\binom{m'}{a}=0$, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m\geq 0} W_{\underline{r},r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j,n}\right)q^n=\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)\frac{q^j}{1-q^j}. \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.1) and (2.3) we obtain the formula

$$V_{\underline{r},j,n}=\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m\geq 0} W_{\underline{r},r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j,n}.$$

□

We put

$$\begin{cases} a_{\underline{r},n}=\prod_{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n}}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\rho)}\rho_i, \\ b_{\underline{r},n}=\prod_{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n}}\prod_{i\geq 1}m_i(\rho)!. \end{cases}$$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.3. *We have* $b_{\underline{r},n}=\prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{c_{r_i,n}}a_{\underline{r},n}$, *where* $c_{r_i,n}$ *is given by*

$$c_{r_i,n}=\sum_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m\geq 0} k_i\ W_{\underline{r},r_1^{k_1}r_2^{k_2}\cdots r_m^{k_m}j,n}.$$

Proof. Since $V_{\underline{r},j,n}=0$ unless $j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})$, we have

$$\prod_{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n}}\prod_{i\geq 1}\rho_i=\prod_{j\geq 1}j^{V_{\underline{r},j,n}}=\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r},)}j^{V_{\underline{r},,j,n}}.$$

We write $\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}=r_1^{i_1}\cdots r_m^{i_m}$. On the other hand we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\rho\in CP_{\underline{r},n}}\prod_{i\geq 1}m_i(\rho)!&=\prod_{j\geq 1}j^{W_{\underline{r},j,n}}=\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})}\prod_{i_1,\dots,i_m\geq 0}(\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j)^{W_{\underline{r},\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j,n}}\\&=\left(\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})}\prod_{i_1,\dots,i_m\geq 0}(\underline{r}^{\underline{i}})^{W_{r,r^ij,n}}\right)\times\left(\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \underline{r})}\prod_{i_1,\dots,i_m\geq 0}j^{W_{r,r^ij,n}}\right)\\&=\prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{c_{r_i,n}}\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ r)}j^{\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_m\geq 0}W_{r,\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j,n}}\\&\underset{\text{Thm.}2.1}{=}\prod_{i=1}^m r_i^{c_{r_i,n}}\prod_{j\not\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ r)}j^{V_{\underline{r},j,n}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The generating functions of $c_{r_i,n}$'s are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *For $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} c_{r_i,n} q^n = \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{r_i n}}{1-q^{r_i n}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{1\leq l_1<\ldots<l_k\leq m \\ l_1,\ldots,l_m\neq i}} \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{r_i r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k} n}}{1-q^{r_i r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k} n}} \right)$$
$$= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) \sum_{n\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}^{(i)}}} \frac{q^{r_i n}}{1-q^{r_i n}},$$

where $\underline{r}^{(i)} = (r_1, \ldots, r_{i-1}, r_{i+1}, \ldots, r_m)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i = 1$. We compute

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m\geq 0} i_1 W_{\underline{r},\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j,n} \right) q^n = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m\geq 0} i_1 \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} W_{\underline{r},\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j,n} q^n \right)$$
$$= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) \sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m\geq 0} \sum_{i_1\geq 0} i_1 \left\{ \frac{q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \sum_{1\leq l_1<\ldots<l_k\leq m} \frac{q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} \right\}$$
$$= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) \sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m\geq 0} \left\{ \sum_{i_1\geq 1} i_1 \left(\frac{q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} - \frac{q^{r_1\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{r_1\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \sum_{i_1\geq 1} i_1 \sum_{2\leq l_1<\ldots<l_k\leq m} \left(\frac{q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} - \frac{q^{r_1 r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{r_1 r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} \right) \right\}$$
$$= \Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) \sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m\geq 0} \sum_{i_1\geq 1} \left\{ \frac{q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \sum_{2\leq l_1<\ldots<l_k\leq m} \frac{q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}}{1-q^{r_{l_1}\cdots r_{l_k}\underline{r}^{\underline{i}}j}} \right\}.$$

Now we take sum over $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\underline{r}}$ to have the generating function of $c_{r_1,n}$ as desired. The second equality in the theorem follows from the inclusion-exclusion principle. □

3. Glaisher Combinatorics

Let $RP_{\underline{r},n}$ be the set of partitions whose parts are not divisible by r_i for any $i = 2, 3, \ldots, m$ and the multiplicity of each part is less than r_1. A partition $\lambda \in RP_{\underline{r},n}$ said to be an $\underline{r}$-regular. We rewrite $\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)$ as follows:

$$\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1-q^{r_1 n}}{1-q^n} \prod_{i=2}^{m} \frac{(1-q^{r_i n})}{(1-q^{r_1 r_i n})} \prod_{i<j} \frac{(1-q^{r_1 r_i r_j n})}{(1-q^{r_i r_j n})} \cdots$$
$$= \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} q^{nk} \right) \prod_{i=2}^{m} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} q^{r_i nk}} \prod_{i<j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} q^{r_i r_j nk} \right) \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} |RP_{\underline{r},n}| q^n$$

Therefore we have $|CP_{\underline{r},n}| = |RP_{\underline{r},n}|$. A concrete bijection will be described in this section. The following proposition is a direct consequence of the generating function $\Phi_{\underline{r}}(q)$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any permutation $\underline{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$ of $\underline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m)$, we have*

$$|RP_{\underline{r},n}| = |RP_{\underline{s},n}|.$$

For example, the number of 2-regular, 3-class regular partitions of n is equal to the number of 3-regular, 2-class regular partitions of n. This is also equal to the number of partitions of n whose parts are of the form $6k \pm 1$ $(k \geq 0)$.

There is a natural bijection between the sets $RP_{\underline{r},n}$ and $CP_{\underline{r},n}$. Take $\lambda \in RP_{\underline{r},n}$. If λ has a multiple of r_1 as a part, say kr_1, then replace kr_1 by k^{r_1}. By this step the length of the partition increases by $r-1$. Repeat these steps until the partition has come to an element $g_{r_1}(\lambda)$ of $CP_{\underline{r},n}$. The map $g_{r_1} : RP_{\underline{r},n} \to CP_{\underline{r},n}$ is called the Glaisher correspondence, and shown to be bijective.

The number of steps for obtaining $g_{r_1}(\lambda) \in CP_{\underline{r},n}$ from $\lambda \in RP_{\underline{r},n}$ equals

$$\frac{\ell(g_{r_1}(\lambda)) - \ell(\lambda)}{r-1}.$$

Define for $\lambda \in CP_{\underline{r},n}$ and $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r_1}$, $y_{r^k j} = |\{i \geq 1 \mid m_i(\rho) \geq r^k j\}, |$ and

$$G_j(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 1} k y_{r_1^k j}(\lambda).$$

For example, if $r_1 = 3$ and $\lambda = (1^9)$, then $G_1(\lambda) = 3, G_2(\lambda) = 1$ and $G_j(\lambda) = 0$ otherwise. Put $G(\lambda) = \sum_{j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r_1}} G_j(\lambda)$. This is nothing but the times of Glaisher steps for $g^{-1}(\lambda) \mapsto \lambda$, and also we have the following.

Proposition 3.2.

$$c_{r_1,n} = \sum_{\lambda \in CP_{\underline{r},n}} G(\lambda).$$

Proof. Proof is just by interchanging the order of the summation. □

4. case of $\underline{r} = r$ and regular character table

Throughout this section, we fix a positive integer $r \geq 2$. Here we restrict our attention to the case $m = 1$, i.e., $\underline{r} = r$. We will relate the analysis of r-(class) regular partitions with the character tables of the symmetric groups. It should be remarked that [3] already proved Theorem 4.1. They give a bijective proof, and also sketch a proof using generating functions. Here we supply the proof relying on the generating functions for the sake of completeness.

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r-1\}$, we put

$$x_{r,j}(\lambda) = \left|\{i \mid \lambda_i \equiv j \pmod{r}\}\right| \text{ and } \; y_{r,j}(\lambda) = \left|\{i \mid m_i(\lambda) \geq j\}\right|.$$

We define

$$X_{r,j,n} = \sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} x_{r,j}(\rho) \text{ and } Y_{r,j,n} = \sum_{\lambda \in RP_{r,n}} y_{r,j}(\lambda).$$

Theorem 4.1. *$X_{r,j,n} - Y_{r,j,n} = c_{r,n}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$.*

Before proving this theorem, we give an example.

Example 4.2. *We take $r = 3$ and $n = 7$. The following table lists the 3-class regular partitions of $n = 7$:*

ρ	7	52	51^2	421	41^3	2^31	2^21^3	21^5	1^7	total
$x_{3,1}(\rho)$	1	0	2	2	4	1	3	5	7	25
$x_{3,2}(\rho)$	0	2	1	1	0	3	2	1	0	10

From the table above, we have $X_{3,1,7} = 25$ and $X_{3,2,7} = 10$. As for the 3-regular partitions of 7, we have

λ	7	61	52	51^2	52	421	3^21	32^2	321^2	total
$\prod_{i\geq 1} m_i(\lambda)!$	1	1·1	1·1	1·21	1·1	1·1·1	21·1	1·21	1·1·21	–
$y_{3,1}(\lambda)$	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	19
$y_{3,2}(\lambda)$	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4

From the second table, we have $Y_{3,1,7} = 19$ and $Y_{3,2,7} = 4$. Thus we see

$$X_{3,1,7} - Y_{3,1,7} = X_{3,2,7} - Y_{3,2,7} = 6.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\Phi_3(q) \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{q^{3k}}{1-q^{3k}} = q^3 + q^4 + 2q^5 + 4q^6 + 6q^7 + 9q^8 + 13q^9 + 19q^{10} + \cdots.$$

Proof. First we will compute the generating function of $X_{r,j,n}$. For $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod r$, we have

$$\Phi_r(q) \frac{1-q^i}{1-tq^i} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(t^{\sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} m_i(\rho)} \right) q^n.$$

Taking the t-derivative at $t = 1$, we obtain

$$\Phi_r(q) \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} m_i(\rho) \right) q^n. \tag{4.1}$$

Since $x_{r,j}(\rho) = \sum_{k\geq 0} m_{kr+j}(\rho)$, we have the following generating function of $X_{r,j,n}$.

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} X_{r,j,n} q^n = \Phi_r(q) \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{rk+j}}{1-q^{rk+j}}.$$

Second, we consider the r-regular partitions and the generating function of $Y_{r,j,n}$. We put

$$\Phi_{r,j}(q,t)=\prod_{k\geq 1}(1+q^k+q^{2k}+\cdots+q^{(j-1)k}+tq^{jk}+tq^{(j+1)k}+\cdots+tq^{(r-1)k}). \tag{4.2}$$

Immediately we have

$$\Phi_{r,j}(q,t)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in RP_{r,n}} t^{y_{r,j}(\lambda)}\right)q^n.$$

Taking the t-derivative at $t=1$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{r,j}(q,t)\Big|_{t=1}=\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in RP_{r,n}} y_{r,j}(\lambda)\right)q^n=\sum_{n\geq 0}Y_{r,j,n}q^n.$$

As for the equation (4.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{r,j}(q,t)\Big|_{t=1}=\Phi_{r,j}(q,t)\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{q^{jk}-q^{rk}}{1-q^{rk}}.$$

The generating function of $Y_{r,j,n}$ reads

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}Y_{r,j,n}q^n=\Phi_r(q)\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{q^{jk}-q^{rk}}{1-q^{rk}}.$$

To complete the proof, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 0}X_{r,j,n}q^n-\sum_{n\geq 0}Y_{r,j,n}q^n&=\Phi_r(q)\left(\sum_{k\geq 0}(q^{rk+j}+q^{2(rk+j)}+q^{3(rk+j)}+\cdots)\right.\\&\quad\left.-\sum_{m\geq 1}(q^{jm}+q^{(r+j)m}+q^{(2r+j)m}+\cdots)+\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{q^{rk}}{1-q^{rk}}\right)\\&=\Phi_r(q)\left(\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{m\geq 1}q^{m(rk+j)}-\sum_{m\geq 1}\sum_{k\geq 0}q^{(kr+j)m}+\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{q^{rk}}{1-q^{rk}}\right)\\&=\Phi_r(q)\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{q^{rk}}{1-q^{rk}}=\sum_{n\geq 0}c_{r,n}q^n.\end{aligned}$$

□

4.1. **Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions at root of unity.** Next, we apply Theorem 4.1 to computations of some minor determinants of transition matrices and the character tables of the symmetric groups. The Hall-Littlewood P- and Q- symmetric functions ([6]) are a one parameter family of symmetric functions satisfying the orthogonality relation:

$$\langle P_\lambda(x;t),Q_\mu(x;t)\rangle_t=\delta_{\lambda\mu},$$

where the inner product $\langle , \rangle_t$ is defined by $\langle p_\lambda(x), p_\mu(x)\rangle_t = z_\lambda(t)\delta_{\lambda\mu}$ with $z_\lambda(t) = z_\lambda \prod_{i\geq 1}(1-t^{\lambda_i})^{-1}$. Let $(a;t)_n$ be a t-shifted factorial:

$$(a;t)_n = \begin{cases} (1-a)(1-at)\cdots(1-at^{n-1}) & (n \geq 1) \\ 1 & (n=0). \end{cases}$$

The relation between P- and Q- functions is described as

$$Q_\lambda(x) = b_\lambda(t)P_\lambda(x),$$

where $b_\lambda(t) = \prod_{i\geq 1}(t;t)_{m_i(\lambda)}$.

4.2. **Q'-functions.** We are interested in the case that parameter t is a primitive r-th root of unity ζ. The Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions at root of unity is studied at the first time by [7]. We remark that $\{Q_\lambda(x;\zeta) \mid \lambda \in RP_{r,n}\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$-basis for the subspace $\Lambda^{(r)} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[p_s(x) \mid s \not\equiv 0 \pmod r]$ of the symmetric function ring $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)[p_s(x) \mid s = 1,2,\ldots]$. This can be shown along the arguments in [6, Chap. 3-8], where the case $r = 2$ is discussed. In [5], Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon consider the dual basis (Q'_λ) of P-functions, relative to the inner product at $t = 0$. Namely P- and Q'- functions satisfy the Cauchy identity:

$$\sum_\lambda P_\lambda(x;t)Q'_\lambda(y;t) = \prod_{i,j}(1-x_iy_j)^{-1}.$$

When $t = \zeta$, the Q'-functions have the following nice factorization property.

Proposition 4.3 ([5])**.** *Let ζ be a primitive r-th root of unity. If a partition λ satisfies $m_i(\lambda) \geq r$, then we have*

$$Q'_\lambda(x;\zeta) = (-1)^{i(r-1)}Q'_{\lambda\setminus(i^r)}(x;\zeta)h_i(x^r).$$

Here $h_i(x^r) = h_i(x_1^r, x_2^r, \ldots)$ and $\lambda \setminus (i^r)$ is a partition obtained by removing the rectangle (r^i) from the Young diagram λ.

We define an *r-reduction* for a symmetric function $f(x)$ by

$$f^{(r)}(x) = f(x)\big|_{p_r(x)=p_{2r}(x)=p_{3r}(x)=\ldots=0}.$$

Proposition 4.3 leads us to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *$Q'^{(r)}_\lambda(x;\zeta) = 0$ unless λ is an r-regular partition.*

We set

$$Q_\lambda(x;\zeta) = \sum_{\rho\in CP_{r,n}} Q^\lambda_\rho p_\rho(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q'^{(r)}_\lambda(x;\zeta) = \sum_{\rho\in CP_{r,n}} Q'^\lambda_\rho p_\rho(x).$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\lambda \in RP_{r,n}$ and $\rho \in CP_{r,n}$. We have*

$$Q'^{\lambda}_{\rho} = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - \zeta^{\rho_i})^{-1} Q^{\lambda}_{\rho}.$$

Proof. We compute inner products at $t = \zeta$ and $t = 0$ for r-regular partitions λ and μ. Namely, we see

$$\delta_{\lambda\mu} = \langle P_\lambda(x;\zeta), Q_\mu(x;\zeta) \rangle_\zeta = b_\lambda(\zeta)^{-1} \sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} Q^{\lambda}_{\rho} Q^{\mu}_{\rho} z_\rho(\zeta)$$

and

$$\delta_{\lambda\mu} = \langle P_\lambda(x;\zeta), Q'^{(r)}_{\mu}(x;\zeta) \rangle_0 = b_\lambda(\zeta)^{-1} \sum_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} Q^{\lambda}_{\rho} Q'^{\mu}_{\rho} z_\rho.$$

Since $\{P_\lambda(x;\zeta) \mid \lambda \in RP_{r,n}\}$ is also a basis of $\Lambda^{(r)}$, we have the claim. □

We define $L_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ by

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \in P_n} L_{\lambda\mu}(t) Q'_\mu(x;t),$$

where $s_\lambda(x)$ denotes the Schur function. Let $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ be the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial ([6]). In other words, the matrix $K(t) = (K_{\lambda\mu}(t))_{\lambda,\mu \in P_n}$ is the transition matrix $M(s, P)$ from the Schur functions to the Hall-Littelewood P-functions. It is known that $K(t)$ is an upper unitriangular matrix.

Lemma 4.6. *For partitions λ and μ, we have $L_{\lambda\mu}(t) = K^{(-1)}_{\mu\lambda}(t)$, the (λ, μ)-entry of the matrix $K(t)^{-1}$.*

Proof.

$$L_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \langle s_\lambda(x), P_\mu(x;t) \rangle_0 = \langle s_\lambda(x), \sum_{\nu \in P_n} K^{(-1)}_{\mu\nu} s_\nu \rangle_0 = K^{(-1)}_{\mu\lambda}(t).$$

□

Example 4.7. *We take $\zeta = -1$ and $n = 4$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
s_4(x) &= Q'_4(x;-1), \\
s_{31}(x) &= Q'_{31}(x;-1) + Q'_4(x;-1), \\
s_{22}(x) &= Q'_{22}(x;-1) + Q'_{31}(x;-1), \\
s_{211}(x) &= Q'_{211}(x;-1) + Q'_{22}(x;-1) + Q'_{31}(x;-1) + Q'_4(x;-1), \\
s_{1111}(x) &= Q'_{1111}(x;-1) + Q'_{211}(x;-1) - Q'_{22}(x;-1) + Q'_4(x;-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4 and 4.6 give the following expansion formula.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\lambda \in P_n$ and $\mu \in RP_{r,n}$. We have*

$$s_\lambda^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{\mu \in RP_{r,n}} K_{\mu\lambda}^{(-1)}(\zeta) Q'^{(r)}_\mu(x;\zeta).$$

In particular, $(L_{\lambda\mu}(\zeta))_{\lambda,\mu\in RP_{r,n}}$ is a lower unitriangular matrix.

Example 4.9. *By Proposition 4.8, we immediately see*

$$\begin{aligned}
s_4^{(2)}(x) &= Q'^{(2)}_4(x;-1),\\
s_{31}^{(2)}(x) &= Q'^{(2)}_{31}(x;-1) + Q'^{(2)}_4(x;-1),\\
s_{22}^{(2)}(x) &= Q'^{(2)}_{31}(x;-1),\\
s_{211}^{(2)}(x) &= Q'^{(2)}_{31}(x;-1) + Q'^{(2)}_4(x;-1),\\
s_{1111}^{(2)}(x) &= Q'^{(2)}_4(x;-1).
\end{aligned}$$

From the first two equations above, we have

$$(L_{\lambda\mu}(-1))_{\lambda,\mu\in RP_{2,4}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We set

$$s^{(r)} = \{s_\lambda^{(r)}(x) \mid \lambda \in RP_{r,n}\},\ Q'^{(r)} = \{Q'^{(r)}_\lambda(x) \mid \lambda \in RP_{r,n}\}$$

and

$$p^{(r)} = \{p_\lambda(x) \mid \lambda \in CP_{r,n}\}.$$

For $u, v \in \{s^{(r)}, Q'^{(r)}, p^{(r)}\}$, we denote by $M(u,v)$ the transition matrix from u to v. By Lemma 4.4, we have that $M(s^{(r)}, Q'^{(r)})$ is obtained by removing non r-regular rows and columns from the transposed inverse of $K(t)$.

Theorem 4.10. *We have* $\det M(Q'^{(r)}, p^{(r)}) \in \mathbb{R}$ *and*

$$\det M(Q'^{(r)}, p^{(r)}) = \pm \frac{1}{r^{c_{r,n}} \prod_{\rho\in CP_r(n)} \prod_{i\geq 1} \rho_i}.$$

Proof. The orthogonality relation of $P_\lambda(x;\zeta)$ and $Q'_\mu(x;\zeta)$:

$$\delta_{\lambda\mu} = \langle P_\lambda(x;\zeta), Q'^{(r)}_\mu(x;\zeta)\rangle_0 = b_\lambda(\zeta)^{-1} \sum_{\rho\in CP_{r,n}} Q^\lambda_\rho Q'^\mu_\rho z_\rho,$$

and Proposition 4.5 give

$$\begin{aligned}
\det M(Q'^{(r)}, p^{(r)})^2 &= \left(\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-\zeta^{\rho_i}} \right)^2 \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in RP_{r,n}} b_\lambda(\zeta)}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho(\zeta)} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in RP_{r,n}} b_\lambda(\zeta)}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho (\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} (1-\zeta^{\rho_i}))} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in RP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} (1-\zeta^{m_i(\lambda)})}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho (\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} (1-\zeta^{\rho_i}))} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{\lambda \in RP_{r,n}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{y_{r,j}(\lambda)}}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho (\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{x_{r,j}(\rho)})} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{Y_{r,j,n}}}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{X_{r,j,n}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{X_{r,j,n} - Y_{r,j,n}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (1-\zeta^j)^{c_{r,n}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 4.1 to the last equality above. By noticing $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}(1-\zeta^i) = r$ and using Theorem 2.3, we obtain the formula. □

4.3. **Regular character tables of the symmetric groups.** Let $T_n = (\chi_\rho^\lambda)_{\lambda, \rho \in P_n}$ be the ordinary character table of the symmetric group S_n. The orthogonality relation of the characters implies

$$(\det T_n)^2 = \prod_{\rho \in P_n} z_\rho.$$

From James's book [4, Corollary 6.5], this formula can be simplified as

$$(\det T_n)^2 = \prod_{\rho \in P_n} \prod_{i \geq 1} \rho_i^2.$$

Olsson considers the r-regular character table $T_n^{(r)} = (\chi_\rho^\lambda)_{\substack{\lambda \in RP_{r,n} \\ \rho \in CP_{r,n}}}$ and computes its determinant. He proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11 ([8])**.**

$$\det T_n^{(r)} = \pm \prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \rho_i.$$

Proof. Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 4.8 enable us to compute the determinant of the regular character table as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\det M(s^{(r)}, p^{(r)})^2 &= \det M(s^{(r)}, Q'^{(r)})^2 \det M(Q'^{(r)}, p^{(r)})^2 \\ &= 1 \times \frac{1}{r^{2c_{r,n}} \prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \rho_i^2}\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\det M(s^{(r)}, p^{(r)})^2 = (\det T_n^{(r)})^2 \times \prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} z_\rho^{-2} \underset{\text{Thm}2.3}{=} (\det T_n^{(r)})^2 \times r^{-2c_{r,n}} \prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \rho_i^{-4},$$

we have

$$(\det T_n^{(r)})^2 = \left(\prod_{\rho \in CP_{r,n}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \rho_i \right)^2.$$

□

References

[1] M. Ando, T. Suzuki and H.-F. Yamada, Combinatorics for graded Cartan matrices of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type A, Ann. Comb. 17 (2013) 427-442.

[2] C. Bessenrodt and J. B. Olsson, Submatrices of character tables and basic sets, J. Combinatorial Th. Ser. A no. 119 (2012), 1774-1788.

[3] C. Bessenrodt, J. B. Olsson and R. P. Stanley Properties of some character tables related to the symmetric groups, J. Algebraic Comb. Vol 21, No 2 (2005), 163-177.

[4] G. James, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 682, Springer-Verlag 1978.

[5] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc and J.-Y. Thibon, Fonctions de Hall-Littlewood et polynômes de Kostka-Foulkes aux racines de l'unité., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 316 (1993), no.1, 1-6.

[6] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd. ed. ,* Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995.

[7] A. O. Morris, On an algebra of symmetric functions, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) no.16 (1965) 53-64.

[8] J. B. Olsson, Regular character tables of symmetric groups, Electron. J. Combin. 10 (2003) N3. MR1975776.

Hiroshi Mizukawa, Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka 239-8686, Japan

E-mail address: mzh@nda.ac.jp

Hiro-Fumi Yamada, Department of Mathematics, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

E-mail address: yamada@math.okayama-u.ac.jp